



Parent Informed

Field Guides to RtI Prepared by Wayne County RtI/LD Committee 2007

Table of Contents

1	Parent Informed	3
2	Child Engagement in Rtl	4
3	General Education Screening Is Not a Special Education Evaluation	4
4	Sample Parent Information Letter	5
5	Parent Invitation	6
6	Sample Student Progress Report—for Parent	7
6	Sample Student Progress Report—for Student	8
7	References	9

Parent Informed

Parents need to know how their children are doing in school and they need to know what is being done to help their children improve. When a team decides to engage in a RtI process, this does not mean that the team has initiated a special education evaluation procedure. The special education referral is based on information from a variety of sources, including parent input, teacher recommendation, RtI data, student performance with State standards for age, and other relevant information. A special education referral requires specific notice to parents, leads to a comprehensive evaluation, and creates a 30 day timeline leading to IEP.

To be informed, the parent would need to have information about the RtI model used by the school, whether their child will be individually monitored and receiving supplemental interventions as a struggling learner. The following documents may serve as a helpful resource in planning communication with parents.

EVENT	HOW TO INVOLVE PARENTS
Start of the school year for all students	Provide generic notice (e.g. in school handbook) to all parents of the district's commitment to teaching all children (e.g. the district utilizes a tiered intervention process that includes universal screening, differentiated instruction, supplemental and/or intensive instruction, conferences)
Tier I data collection: Universal screening and progress monitoring e.g.: Curriculum-based assessments	 If the child has been identified "struggling learner", contact the parent to inform the parent that the child will be receiving accommodations and/or differentiated instruction in the general classroom Provide contact information if parent has questions or needs clarification
At the conclusion of Tier 1: Review progress monitoring Identify Tier 2 interventions, if needed	 Provide results of progress monitoring to parents If the student needs supplemental interventions at Tier 2, contact the parent and describe who will be working with the child, how often, on what skill, and when progress will be reported to them. Involve parent in the intervention process (Note: If teaching in a different way or teaching a targeted skill, the parent should know about this and be guided in helping the student at home to the extent the parent is willing and able.)
 Tier 2: Review progress monitoring Team meeting to review progress and make instructional decisions Identify Tier 3 interventions if needed 	 Provide results of progress monitoring to parents Invite parents to participate in meetings to review progress and make instructional decisions regarding need for continued supplemental instruction at Tier 2 and/or more intensive interventions at Tier 3. If the parents are unable to attend, solicit their input in written form. Provide a follow-up communication describing the outcome of the meeting. If it is suspected that the student has a disability under IDEA, follow special education procedures for parent notice and consent

Adapted from: Michigan Association of Administrators of Special Education, Response to Treatment Intervention, 2006

Child Engagement in Rtl

Research has demonstrated that children need frequent and specific feedback about their learning to improve. The RtI is a model that is rich in data and feedback to students. Including students in the tracking of their progress can help them to improve. Research has shown that students take more responsibility for their learning when learning targets are clear to them and they know how they are improving. RtI also holds the promise to the child of keeping the student in the general education curriculum.

General Education Screening Is Not a Special Education Evaluation

The educational screening procedures of Response to Intervention (RtI) are not to be confused with special education evaluation procedures. IDEA 2004 has clarified the distinction between general education screening assessments for instructional purposes and special education evaluation:

§ 300.302 Screening for instructional purposes is not evaluation.

The screening of a student by a teacher or specialist to determine appropriate instructional strategies for curriculum implementation shall not be considered to be an evaluation for eligibility for special education and related services.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1414(a)(1)(E))

This statement is necessary to clarify that the data collection of RtI is within the arena of expected practice in general education. The State regulations will define the data from RtI processes that will be required if a student is referred for a special education evaluation. This means that general education and special education will need to collaborate in the design of the RtI data collection methods that will yield reliable, valid, appropriate data for instructional planning and meet documentation requirements.

Research has shown that students take more responsibility for their learning when learning targets are clear to them and they know how they are improving.

Sample Parent Information Letter—School-Wide Notice

Desc	
Date	
Dear	(Parent or Legal Guardian):
Our school has b	egun an early intervention program to help our students develop and increase their
in reading, math	, writing, and communication. This program involves the classroom teachers, s
and language pat	chologists, resource room teachers, teacher consultants, as well as reading specialis
The students are	administered screening assessments [insert DIBELS or name of screening assess
here] three time	s a year and student progress is measured throughout the year.
Your son/daught	er [Insert Student Name Here] is being recommended to receive small group in
tion to help imp	rove his/her reading skills. A Student Assistance Team meeting is scheduled to me
[insert date, tim	e, and location here] to explain this program to you. We hope you are able to a
this important in	nformational/planning meeting. If you have questions, please feel free to contact
child's classroom	teacher.
Thank you.	
Principal	
Colord Tutamon	Line i A.

Student ID#:	Student Name:
Date of Birth:	School:
Teacher:	Grade:
Please plan to attend a meeting to discuss your chi	ld's progress. The meeting is scheduled at the following date and time:
Date:	Time:
Place:	
If you have questions or concerns, please contact:	
Person:	Position:
Phone:	Email:
Parent contact:	
Date:	
Parent communication:	
☐ Letter ☐ Phone ☐ Email	

Sample Student Progress Report to Parents

Student:School:				Date:Teacher:				
Your child's progress has been monitored. The following table shows your child's performance in comparison to the class. The Percentile score								
rovides a ranking of your child's performance, see below: 75 –100Superior 50 – 75High Average 25 – 50Low Average 10 – 25Below Average 0 – 10Considerably Below Average								
Class Average Score								
Student Score								
Student Percentile Score								
	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date
ased on your child's demonstrated progress, your child has been provided with additional instruction. The instruction plan for your child is ammarized below: Target Skill Instructional Plan Dates of Contact Time Teacher / Tutor								
ased on your child?	s progress, the t	following recon	nmendation is n	nade:				
Planned interven Planned interven Another instructi	tion was not suc	cessful in meetir	ng the child's nee	ds.		the current settii	ng.	
Planned intervention was not successful in meeting the child's needs.								

Sample Student Progress Monitoring Report

Student:	Date:
Grade:	Teacher:
Test Day:	Test Topic:

Hot!	Very Warm	Warm	Chilly	Cold
	The state of the s	THE STATE OF THE S	Δ	

We are learning	Date 1	Date 2	Date 3	Date 4	Date 5
Addition: single-digit numbers 1+1=	MINIM	Δ	THE STATE OF THE S	THE STATE OF THE S	The state of the s
Addition: double-digit numbers: 10+10=					

KEEP UP THE GOOD WORK!

References

Aladjem, P. (2000) A Suggested Guide to the Special Education, Pre-referral process for Bilingual Learners.

Federal Register, 34 CFR Parts 300, 301, and 304

Federal Register / Vol. 71, No. 156 / Monday, August 14, 2006 / Rules and Regulations

Garcia, S. B. & Ortiz, A. A. (1989) Preventing Inappropriate Referrals of Language Minority Students to Special Education

Heartland Education Agency 11 (2002) Improving Children's Educational Results Through Data-Based Decision-Making. Johnston, IA.

Iowa Department of Education (2005) Special Education Eligibility Standards. Des Moines, IA.

Kent Intermediate School District. (1999) Learning Disabilities Evaluation Guidelines. Grand Rapid, MI.

McCook, John. (2006) The RTI Guide: Developing and Implementing a Model in Your Schools, LRP Publications

Ortiz, A. A. (2000) A Suggested Guide to the Special Education, Prereferral Process for Bilingual Learners. Peter Aladjem Title VII Model Schools Project. Salem, MA.

Ortiz, S.(2005) *Application of RtI Models with Culturally and Linguistically Diverse Children*. National Association of School Psychologists, powerpoint. http://trainersofschoolpsychologists.org/public/NASP2005Ortiz.pdf

Thurlow, M.L. Elliott, J.L., & Ysseldyke, J.E. (1998). *Testing students with disabilities: Practical strategies for complying with district and state requirements.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Committee Members

The individuals listed below contributed their time and talents to developing recommendations for approaching Response to Intervention in Wayne County schools. Initial conversations began in June, 2005.

Dr. John Cellitti, Teacher Consultant

Lincoln Park Public Schools

Dr. Kathleen Grodus, School Psychologist

Plymouth-Canton Public Schools

Lisa Khoury, School Psychologist

Grosse Pointe Public Schools

Dr. Dona Beach-Johnson, School Psychologist

Grosse Pointe Public Schools

Dr. Delia Laing, Administrator

The Leona Group

Diane Lesley, School Psychologist

Detroit Public Schools

Valerie McNeece, Teacher Consultant

Melvindale-Northern Allen Park Public School

Dr. Maria Sella, School Psychologist

Flat Rock Public Schools

Anne Sheehan, Office of Student Support Services, Supervisor

Detroit Public Schools

Kathleen Sykes, Curriculum Consultant

Plymouth-Canton Public Schools

Dr. Stephen Taylor, School Psychologist

Livonia Public Schools

Rebecca Uribe, Director of Special Education

Dearborn Heights Public Schools

Shirley Veldhuis, Speech Pathologist

Lincoln Park Public Schools

Pearl Weiss, School Psychologist

Detroit Public Schools

Linda Wheeler, Consultant

Detroit Public Schools

Deborah Williamson, Office of Student Support Services, Supervisor

Detroit Public Schools

Estelle Wright-Alexander, Teacher Consultant

Detroit Public Schools

Marcy Yee, School Psychologist D

Detroit Public Schools

Dr. Patricia Drake, Special Education Data Consultant, Wayne RESA

Acknowledgements for Contributions:

Jeffrey Crockett, Teacher Consultant, Plymouth Canton Schools Dr. Kathleen Storchan, ELL Consultant, Wayne RESA

Special Acknowledgement:

Kevin D. Magin, Executive Director Special Education and Early Intervention Services, Wayne RESA



Wayne RESA

33500 Van Born Road • P.O. Box 807 Wayne, MI 48184-2497 734.334.1300 • 734.334.1620 FAX www.resa.net

Board of Education

James S. Beri Kenneth E. Berlinn Mary E. Blackmon Lynda S. Jackson James Petrie

Marlene E. Davis, Ph.D. Superintendent